A phase I study of a novel potential intravaginal microbicide, PRO 2000, in healthy sexually inactive women


Background: Although the male condom provides a reliable means of preventing HIV transmission, a broader choice of methods is required particularly in circumstances where the negotiation of condom use is difficult. Development of new products that may be effective as topical vaginal microbicides is the focus of a great deal of research activity currently. The novel agent PRO 2000, a naphthalene sulphonate derivative with in vitro activity against HIV and other sexually transmissible pathogens, is one such compound. We have studied the local and systemic safety and tolerance of a vaginal gel formulation of this agent at two concentrations (0.5% and 4%) over a 2 week period of daily exposure in two cohorts of healthy sexually abstinent women (one in London, UK, and the other in Antwerp, Belgium).

Methods: This was a randomised, placebo controlled, double blind, three arm clinical trial conducted on two sites. Macroscopic evidence of genital epithelial changes was sought using colposcopy and evidence of microscopic inflammation was acquired using high vaginal biopsy from predetermined sites (UK cohort only). Blood levels of PRO 2000 were measured and laboratory safety tests, including coagulation screens, were performed. The impact on vaginal ecology was also assessed.

Results: 73 women were enrolled across both sites (36 UK, 37 Belgium); 24, 24, 25 in the 0.5%, and placebo groups respectively. Of these, 70 completed 2 weeks’ exposure to the study gel. Three (all in the 4% group) withdrew owing to adverse events which were possibly or probably gel related. Cervicovaginal abrasion was seen colposcopically in three subjects after 14 days of gel use (two in the 4% group and one in the placebo group). Genital ulceration was not seen during gel use in any of the subjects who completed the study. Histological evaluation of vaginal biopsy samples (36 women only) showed evidence of increased inflammatory signs in one participant of the 4.0% group. One volunteer in the placebo group had moderate inflammation at screening and at follow up. Severe inflammation was not seen among any of the subjects tested. Plasma levels of PRO 2000 and laboratory safety tests showed no evidence of systemic absorption. No impact was seen on normal vaginal ecology in the UK cohort where samples were taken 12 hours after the last gel application.

Conclusion: In this phase I study PRO 2000 gel was found to be generally well tolerated with promising local and systemic safety profiles. The 0.5% gel was better tolerated than the 4% gel as fewer genital epithelial adverse events were seen in the former. Phase II studies are about to begin in sexually active women.
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Introduction

The development of effective microbicides for HIV prevention is needed to broaden the range of safer sex choices and to empower women in this choice. So far, most microbicide research in humans has been largely focused on nonoxynol-9 (N-9), a non-ionic surfactant with potent anti-HIV activity in vitro. However, a recently published randomised, triple blind placebo controlled trial showed that a vaginal contraceptive film containing 70 mg of N-9 had no impact on the transmission of HIV, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, or Chlamydia trachomatis. At the present time the cause of this lack of effectiveness is unclear but it may be explained in part by the low therapeutic index of N-9 which could lead to epithelial disruption and/or inflammation thereby enhancing HIV transmission risk. Some investigators have found that the consistent use of N-9 may deplete lactobacilli and result in colonisation with abnormal bacterial species (mainly coliforms). The disappointing experiences with N-9 have led to an increased interest in the development of novel compounds with more specific anti-HIV activity. Such agents should preserve genital epithelial integrity and a normal vaginal flora, both of which are thought to mitigate HIV acquisition.

PRO 2000 is a synthetic naphthalene sulphonate polymer that appears to be well suited for use as a vaginal microbicide. The compound has been shown to be active in vitro against HIV-1, HIV-2, herpes simplex viruses (HSV-1 and -2), human cytomegalovirus, and Chlamydia trachomatis. Furthermore, an aqueous vaginal gel formulation (PRO 2000
gel) was shown to protect mice from genital HSV-2 infection. Gels containing up to 4% PRO 2000 were well tolerated in rabbit models for vaginal and penile irritation. PRO 2000 gel is straightforward to manufacture, highly stable, and compatible with latex condoms.

**Methods**

**STUDY DESIGN**

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committees at both sites. At each site 36 healthy female volunteers were randomised in a double blind manner. Each participant received 2 ml doses of one of the following intravaginal preparations: 4% PRO 2000 gel (80 mg total dose), 0.5% PRO 2000 gel (10 mg total dose), or a matched placebo gel. The gel base contained a synthetic carboxomer and an aqueous lactic acid/triethanolammonium lactate buffer (pH 4.5). The placebo comparator contained only these base components. Gels were provided in single dose tubes with applicators that were terminally sterilised. The first intravaginal dose was administered by the study physician and, provided that this was well tolerated, subsequent applications were inserted daily around the same time by the volunteers themselves. On the first day (day 0), Belgian volunteers applied one dose, UK women used two doses. On subsequent days, single doses were applied until a cumulative total of 14 doses was administered.

**SUBJECT POPULATION**

Subjects recruited into the study provided written informed consent. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 45 years, not pregnant or lactating, willing to abstain from sexual intercourse during gel use, a normal menstrual history, and a low risk for HIV infection (as determined by interview). Exclusion criteria were known HIV infection, a current STD or genital lesion, a history of post-coital bleeding, abnormal chemistry or coagulation results (because PRO 2000 is an anticoagulant), allergy to heparin, or sulphated polysaccharides, the use (within 30 days of enrolment) of anticoagulants, medications with antiplatelet activity, or drugs which are cleared through the reticuloendothelial system, and the use of intravaginal products other than the study gel. At screening the investigators assessed the potential subjects' menstrual cycle to ensure that menstruation was not expected to occur during gel use.

**SUBJECT EVALUATION**

There were six scheduled study evaluations: screening (day -14), baseline (day 0), 2 hours after the first gel dose (day 0 +2 hours), 1 week (day 6), and 2 weeks (day 13) after starting the study product and 1 week after discontinuing the study gel (day 20). All study subjects at a given site were evaluated by the same study physician in order to eliminate interobserver error. Symptoms of vulval or vaginal soreness or pruritus, vaginal bleeding, or dysuria were recorded by participants in a diary. The potential for systemic absorption of the active agent was assessed by measuring plasma levels of PRO 2000 and by performing laboratory safety tests, including coagulation tests at days -14, 0, 6, 13, and 20. Empty and unused applicators were returned to the trial centres as a measure of protocol adherence.

**COLPOSCOPY**

Macroscopic evidence of genital mucosal changes was obtained using a colposcope and findings were recorded according to modified WHO criteria (that is, no use of acetic acid, iodine or green filter). At the UK site, colposcopic images were stored on a computer to allow for independent evaluation at a later date.

**HISTOLOGY**

Microscopic evidence of vaginal inflammation was obtained using high vaginal biopsy specimens taken during the proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle (day -14 and day 13) from predetermined sites, using methodology previously reported. Histology was carried out by a single observer (LP) blinded both to the gel used and to whether the specimen was taken before or after exposure. Where evidence of an inflammatory infiltrate was seen, specimens were further assessed using the immunohistochemical technique previously described. The inflammation score used was: 0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, several foci of inflammatory cells; 3 = severe, overt inflammation. Vaginal biopsy was carried out in the UK cohort only.

**MICROBIOLOGY**

An STD screen was carried out at each visit using methods previously described. High vaginal swabs taken at each visit were cultured for a quantitative estimation of vaginal lactobacilli as described previously. Vaginal flora were sampled at 12 hours after the last gel dose during the exposure phase of the study in the UK cohort whereas in the Belgian cohort, sampling was carried out 2 hours after gel insertion.

**SAMPLE SIZE AND ANALYSIS**

Assuming an underlying adverse event frequency of 20% in any given arm, 24 participants per group would provide a 99.5% chance of observing at least one adverse event in a given group. If the underlying frequency was 10%, this chance would be 92%.

For categorical variables, the number and percentage of each category within a variable was calculated. For continuous variables the mean, median, standard deviation, as well as the minimum and maximum values were determined. Owing to the modest sample size within each intervention arm, inferential assessment to compare the treatment groups was not performed. All subjects were included in the analysis.

**Results**

**ENROLMENT**

A total of 73 participants were recruited across the two study sites. In Antwerp 37 women were enrolled between January and March 1997 and in London 36 participants were recruited.
between March and September 1997. Twenty-four women were randomised to each of the active groups and 25 to the placebo arm of whom 20, 24, and 25 women completed the study in the 4%, 0.5%, and placebo groups respectively. Of the 24 participants enrolled in the 4% group, three discontinued because of adverse events (described below). The mean age was similar in all groups: 30.2 years, 33.2 years and 31.8 years in the 4%, 0.5% and placebo groups respectively. Compliance with use of the study gel was very high. Three women missed one dose and one missed two doses. Four women had sexual intercourse while using the gel, but continued as per protocol and were followed up accordingly.

WITHDRAWALS
Three participants from the 4% group withdrew because of adverse events. London participant 09/09 discontinued on day 6 after administering six doses (two doses on day 0 and one each on days 1, 2, 3, and 4). Moderate vulvar irritation (burning and soreness) was reported on days 0 and 1, and mild vulvovaginal irritation on day 2. No irritation was reported from day 3 onwards, even though dosing had not yet been discontinued. Intermittent bleeding began on day 5 and continued until day 11. At a day 6 clinic visit, vaginal bleeding and cervical erythema were observed. Intermittent bleeding stopped a few days before the next visit on day 13. Bleeding attributable to normal menses began on day 13, but other signs and symptoms had resolved by that date.

Antwerp participant 01/02 discontinued dosing on day 4 after applying daily doses on days 0–3. Mild to moderate vaginal soreness and gastrointestinal symptoms (cramps, nausea, diarrhoea) were reported during the dosing period. Small vulvar ulcers, vaginal erythema, and abrasion were observed at the day 4 visit (see colposcopic findings below). All treatment emergent findings had resolved by the next clinic visit on day 7.

Antwerp participant 08/07 experienced abdominal pain and diarrhoea after applying the day 0 dose. All symptoms had resolved by the next visit on day 6. Apart from a cervical ectropion, which was observed throughout, colposcopic findings were unremarkable.

COLPOSCOPIC FINDINGS
In both sites colposcopy revealed few cases of epithelial disruption (table 1). Vulvar ulceration was seen on day 4 in one subject who had discontinued dosing on day 3. Clinically the appearance was suggestive of herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection, but the ulcers were not tested for HSV. Cervicovaginal ulcer was observed in four participants (one 4% and three 0.5% dose groups), all at a post-dosing visit. Two of these findings (one 4% and one 0.5% dose groups) were attributable to a healing biopsy site, and none was considered to be probably or definitely related to PRO 2000 gel.

Genital abrasion was observed infrequently in all dose groups (table 1). Three participants (one in each dose group) reported having sexual intercourse during the dosing period, and this may have caused the abrasions observed at the week 1 and week 2 visits in these individuals. In two others (4% dose group), the investigator attributed the finding to applicator/instrument use. In four cases (two 4%, two 0.5% dose groups), abrasions resolved during continued dosing.

In both centres, colposcopy revealed non-ulcerative findings (subepithelial haemorrhage, erythema, oedema) in a minority of participants across all the dose groups (table 1).

HISTOLOGY
At the UK site, vaginal biopsies were examined microscopically after haematoxylin and eosin staining for the presence of inflammatory cells. Foci of inflammatory cells were seen microscopically in 5/36 samples collected before exposure (two 4.0%, two 0.5%, one placebo dose groups) and in 5/35 post-exposure (two 4.0%, three placebo). In one subject (0.5% group) the post-exposure sample was not suitable for analysis (table 2). The observed inflammation did not correlate with colposcopic findings or reported symptoms.

LABORATORY SAFETY SCREENS AND SYSTEMIC ABSORPTION
No clinically significant treatment emergent changes were observed in physical examination.

### Table 1 Combined colposcopy results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Number of participants (<em>N</em>) with finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRO*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicovaginal ulcer:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicovaginal abrasion:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subepithelial haemorrhage:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo gel</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythema/oedema:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% PRO 2000 gel</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo gel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 24 in the treatment groups; N = 25 in the placebo arm.

### Table 2 Summary of biopsy scores taken at screening and day 13 at the London site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screen</th>
<th>Day 13</th>
<th>4% PRO 2000 gel</th>
<th>0.5% PRO 2000 gel</th>
<th>Placebo gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No change:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | 0 | 1 | 2 |

Inflammation score:
0 = None, no inflammatory cells;
1 = Mild, single small focus of inflammatory cells;
2 = Moderate, several foci of inflammatory cells;
3 = Severe, overt inflammation.
or laboratory factors (serum chemistry, haematology, coagulation time, urinalysis). PRO 2000 was undetectable in plasma samples collected from all participants using a validated high performance liquid chromatography method (40 ng/ml limit of detection).

VAGINAL MICROENVIRONMENT
Very few participants had genital infections at baseline in either the London or Antwerp cohort. There was evidence of non-specific cervicitis in two women (placebo group), vaginal candidiasis in three subjects (one in each dosing group) and cervical clamidial infection in one subject (placebo group). Vaginal pH was within the normal range in each of the study arms.

In the London centre, in which samples for lactobacilli assessment were collected approximately 12 hours after gel application, treatment had no effect on vaginal lactobacilli populations. Twenty eight women were assessed for changes in vaginal flora by culturing samples taken at days 0, 6, 13 (12 hours after the last gel application), and 20. Four women had no lactobacilli throughout the study period. An additional four had no lactobacilli growth on day 0. For 20 women vaginal lactobacilli levels were consistent with a normal healthy vaginal flora throughout the study period.

In Antwerp, a reduction in lactobacilli numbers was observed in samples collected 2 hours after the last gel application (all dose groups). In the 4%, 0.5% and placebo group three, five, and five women respectively had very few lactobacilli on day 14 whereas at screening they were numerous. In both active groups six women with a positive lactobacilli culture at screening had no growth on day 14. This was also observed in five women in the placebo arm. For all participants lactobacilli populations returned to baseline levels 7 days post-dosing.

SYMPTOMS AND ACCEPTABILITY
Vaginal symptoms were reported by four, six, and nine women in the 0%, 0.5%, and 4% group respectively. More women reported vulval irritation—11, 9, and 10 respectively. There was no obvious correlation between these reports and observed colposcopic findings or microscopic evidence of inflammation.

Vaginal discharge attributable to gel expulsion was reported by the majority of participants in both sites (72%, 92%, and 83% in the placebo, 0.5%, and 4% group respectively), and was observed during gynaecological examination. Normal cervical discharge was observed in about a third of the participants in each dose group before, during, and after dosing. Most subjects found the consistency, colour, and odour of the gels acceptable (“liked a lot” or “liked somewhat”), and more than half of the respondents never experienced problems with gel expulsion/leaking.

Discussion
The results of this phase I clinical trial indicate that repeated intravaginal application of gels containing up to 4% PRO 2000 was safe both locally and systemically, had no measurable impact on vaginal lactobacilli, and was well tolerated in healthy, sexually abstinent women. Though firm conclusions regarding relative toxicities are difficult to draw from these small observational studies, there was the suggestion that the 4% PRO 2000 gel was probably approaching the dose limit for tolerance. Colposcopy showed lesions without an epithelial disruption. Their relevance to HIV is unclear. Similar findings have been seen in other studies with intravaginal products and in women not using vaginal substances. The reduction in lactobacilli observed in Antwerp may have been due to a sampling artefact because samples were taken immediately after the last gel application. In London samples were taken after 12 hours only; there, no effect on lactobacilli was observed. The investigators commented that additional clinical evaluation of PRO 2000 gel is warranted, and phase II studies are planned.
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