Negative Staining Technique of Heine for the Detection of Cryptosporidium spp.: A Fast and Simple Screening Technique
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Abstract: Although inexpensive and easy to perform, the negative staining technique of Heine for the detection of Cryptosporidium spp. has been largely neglected. The lack of familiarity with the negative staining technique, the misconception that a phase-contrast microscope is dispensible and inferior results due to Köhler-illumination, are possible explanations for its low popularity. While the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining technique is still considered the Gold Standard for the detection of Cryptosporidium spp., the negative staining technique of Heine should be reconsidered as the screening technique of first choice. Advantages of the negative staining technique of Heine over the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining are discussed.
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Cryptosporidium spp. are intracellular, extracytoplasmic coccidian parasites of the intestinal tract and have been recognized as a pathogen in humans since 1976 [1]. This parasite is transmitted in several ways including waterborne, person-to-person, zoonotic, foodborne and possibly airborne [2,3]. Cryptosporidium spp. infect not only humans, but also other animals. In man, cryptosporidiosis usually causes a violent attack of profuse, watery diarrhea with abdominal pain, malaise, nausea, vomiting and fever [4,5]. In healthy subjects, cryptosporidiosis is self-limiting and symptoms only persist for some days or weeks. In immunocompromised subjects and children, the illness is known to be more severe and even life-threatening [4-9]. Detection rates in industrialized countries are between 1% and 3%. Mean prevalence rates in developing countries can reach 5% to 10% [5]. In neonates of some mammals, such as ruminants, the severity of infection, as well as the prevalence of Cryptosporidium spp., can be high [10]. Cryptosporidiosis is considered one of the most common causes of neonatal diarrhea in cattle [11]; it reduces growth rate of calves and sheep, impairs feed conversions and reduces milk production [10,12-14]. Mortality rates, in young calves, can reach 35% [15] resulting in significant economic loss.

A variety of methods is available for the detection of Cryptosporidium spp., including microscopic, immunological and molecular techniques. Microscopic detection is based on finding the environmentally and chemically resistant oocysts [16,17] in faecal samples. The oocysts however cannot be positively identified in wet mounts. For this reason, the faecal sample is spread out on a glass slide and stained. If needed, samples can be concentrated using either flotation or sedimentation techniques prior to staining [18]. Immunological and molecular techniques are more complex and costly, making them less useful methods for screening, especially in resource-poor settings. However, they have usually better sensitivities and specificities [19,20].

As microscopy is a rapid, cost-effective and reliable diagnostic tool, this paper suggests the negative staining technique of Heine as an easy, inexpensive and efficient way of screening for Cryptosporidium spp. This technique, which uses undiluted carbol-fuchsine, is compared to the modified acid fast Ziehl-Neelsen staining, the Gold Standard for the detection of Cryptosporidium spp. These two techniques have been selected for comparison as other techniques may require less common staining products (e.g. safranine) or even fluorescence microscopes (e.g. auramine-phenol staining). Minor modifications are made to improve the sensitivity and feasibility of the negative staining technique of Heine.

The modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining is classically performed by staining a methanol fixed thin smear of faecal material with undiluted carbol-fuchsine solution for at least 15 minutes. Subsequently, the slide is rinsed in tap water and placed in an acid-alcohol solution to remove the stain, while acid-fast structures will resist to the acid-alcohol’s destaining action. After rinsing again, the slide is placed for a short period of time in a counter-staining product, such as methylene blue, providing contrast between background material and acid-fast structures. The slide is rinsed once more and after the slide has been air-dried, it can be examined using x10 eyepieces and an oil-immersion objective of x100 magnification [21, 22]. Cryptosporidium spp. oocysts will appear as pink-stained, round to oval structures of about 3 to 6 μm in diameter, containing distinct internal structures (Fig. 1A). The modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining is a time-consuming procedure (about 30 to 45 minutes), which requires intensive training and experience to interpret the results [23-26]. A common problem is distinguishing Cryptosporidium oocysts from other elements, such as moulds and yeast [18,26].
These “pseudo-Cryptosporidia” can be ruled out based on their dimensions [18]. Although the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining remains the Gold Standard for the detection of Cryptosporidium spp., it is claimed to lack sensitivity [26] and specificity [18, 26]. The lack of specificity could be resolved by lowering the sensitivity of the test. For instance a sample could be considered positive if five oocysts or more were observed, causing low-level shedding of oocysts to become interpreted as negative samples [27]. This extra loss of sensitivity in turn could be resolved by using repeated stool sample examinations on consecutive days [28]. The modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining is a low cost technique (about 0.15 US$ per sample), while the cost for PCR-based diagnosis is considerably higher (about 7.60 US$ per sample) [29]. In addition, the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining technique provides a permanent stain, making it possible to send doubtful or scanty positive slides to a reference laboratory for confirmation.

For the negative staining technique of Heine, a small amount of faecal matter is mixed with an equal amount of undiluted carbol-fuchsine solution on a microscope slide. A thin smear is prepared, allowed to air dry and examined using x10 eyepieces and an oil-immersion objective of x50 or x100 magnification [30]. Cryptosporidium oocysts appear as unstained, strongly refractive, round to oval structures of about 3 to 6 μm in diameter. Internal structures are slightly visible as darker specks inside the oocyst (Fig. 1B). The slides should be examined within 15 minutes after they have been air-dried [30]. This time-lapse can be prolonged to 30 minutes by using samples which have been fixed in 10% formalin, prior to staining (Fig. 2). If the slide is not examined in 15 to 30 minutes the oocysts will dry out and become less visible (Fig. 2G, H and I). According to some workers a phase-contrast microscope is indispensable [26]. Our experience is that phase-contrast indeed raises specificity and sensitivity (Fig. 2C, F and I), but oocysts can still be recognized without phase-contrast. To improve the sensitivity of the negative staining technique, we recommend adding a drop of oil on the slide and covering it with a coverslip. By doing so, the preparation can be observed using x10 eyepieces and a “dry” objective of x40 magnification [30]. This modification does not work if the oil is replaced with physiological saline. Most striking is that the visualization of oocysts drops significantly when the microscope is set to Köhler-illumination in a brightfield position (Fig. 2B, E and H). Köhler-illumination allows only the straight rays of light to pass through the optical system, while blocking all scattered rays of light. This will cause the negatively stained oocysts to lose their refractivity. Lowering the microscope condenser when working in brightfield position avoids this problem.

The lack of familiarity with the negative staining technique of Heine, the misconception that a phase-contrast microscope is indispensible, and inferior results caused by Köhler-illumination, are possible explanations for the low popularity of this staining technique. Compared to the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining, the negative staining technique is simpler and costs less to implement, as it uses only one stain. The time needed for this staining is less than half the time needed for the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining and the sensitivity can be increased by using phase-contrast microscopy or examination at x400 magnification, both of which are not possible with the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining technique. We therefore recommend the negative staining technique of Heine as the first choice for screening of slides for Cryptosporidium spp. Doubtful or equivocally positive samples can be confirmed, using the modified Ziehl-Neelsen staining or other, more sophisticated techniques.
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Fig. (2). Oocyst of Cryptosporidium spp., fixed with 10% formaline solution, stained with the negative staining technique of Heine, immediately after the preparation has been air-dried (A, B and C), 35 minutes after the preparation has been air-dried (D, E and F) and 50 minutes after the preparation has been air-dried (G, H and I). Each time as seen with a x100 oil-immersion lens, without Köhler illumination in brightfield position (A, D and G), with Köhler illumination in brightfield position (B, E and H) and with phase-contrast (C, F and I).
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